# ORIGINAL

PROSKAUER ROSE GOETZ & MENDELSOHN ROBERT V. KUENZEL 2 555 California Street, Suite 4604 San Francisco, CA 94194 3 (415) 956-2218 4 PROSKAUER ROSE GOETZ & MENDELSOHN MICHAEL A. CARDOZO NANCY KILSON 1585 Broadway 6 New York, NY 10036 (212) 969-3000 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 United States Surgical Corporation 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 UNITED STATES SURGICAL CORPORATION 13 Plaintiff, 14 15 COMPLAINT FOR ORIGIN MEDSYSTEMS, INC. and FREDERIC PATENT INFRINGEMENT 16 H. MOLL, 17 Defendants. 18 19 Plaintiff, United States Surgical Corporation ("U.S. Surgical"), by its attorneys, Proskauer Rose Goetz & Mendelsohn, 20 21 for its complaint against Origin Medsystems, Inc. ("Origin") and 22 Frederic H. Moll ("Moll") alleges as follows:

23

#### Nature of the Action

24 25

This is an action for infringement arising under federal patent law, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, et seq.

27

26

28

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

manufacturing and marketing an innovative proprietary line of

U.S. Surgical is in the business of developing,

wound management products. U.S. Surgical brings this action because the defendants are infringing and will continue to infringe United States patent number 5,116,353, issued to U.S. Surgical on May 26, 1992, for a retracting tip trocar ("the '353 Patent"). A trocar is a surgical instrument used to penetrate the wall of an anatomical cavity in minimally invasive surgery. U.S. Surgical has manufactured and sold disposable trocars since 1987.

3. U.S. Surgical has invested substantial time, effort

- and expense in the development of the retracting tip trocar covered by the '353 Patent. U.S. Surgical believes that the retracting tip trocar will capture an important and expanding share of the substantial market for disposable trocars.
- 4. On or before March 1, 1992, Origin began manufacturing and Origin and Moll began marketing a retracting tip trocar that infringes the '353 Patent.
- 5. By selling its infringing product, Origin threatens to deprive U.S. Surgical of the value of its investment in the '353 Patent, to gain entry into the market based on misappropriated technology, and to damage U.S. Surgical's relationships with its customers and its reputation as a leading innovator in the market.

6. U.S. Surgical seeks compensatory and punitive damages, injunctive relief barring defendants from infringing the '353 Patent, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs.

# Jurisdiction and Venue

- 7. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 8. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c) and 1400(a).

#### The Parties

- 9. Plaintiff U.S. Surgical is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Norwalk, Connecticut.
- 10. On information and belief, defendant Origin is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Eli Lilly & Co. and is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in San Carlos, California. Origin is engaged in the business of developing surgical instruments.
- 11. On information and belief, defendant Moll is an individual residing in San Francisco, California. On information and belief, Moll currently is the Vice President and Medical Director of Origin.

### The Facts

12. On May 26, 1992, the '353 Patent issued in the name of U.S. Surgical, naming the inventor, David T. Green ("Green"),

and covering a retracting tip trocar. U.S. Surgical, as Green's assignee, is the sole owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '353 Patent with the right to sue and recover for past, present and future infringement thereof.

Since at least March 1, 1992, Origin has been 13. manufacturing and both Origin and Moll have been marketing a retracting tip trocar that literally infringes several claims of the '353 Patent. As such, defendant Origin, and its officer, defendant Moll, have infringed and will continue to infringe the `353 Patent.

# AS A FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Patent Infringement (Both Defendants)

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully set forth the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13.
- Origin and Moll have infringed and will continue to 15. infringe the '353 Patent.
- On information and belief, the continued infringement of the '353 Patent was and is preconceived, deliberate and willful, making this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- Origin and Moll, by infringing the '353 Patent, are depriving U.S. Surgical of the value of its investment in the '353 Patent, gaining entry into the market based on misappropriated technology, and damaging U.S. Surgical's relationships with its customers and its reputation as a leading innovator in the market, COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

14

15

12

13

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

**PATENT NO.** : 5,116,353

DATED : May 26, 1992

**INVENTOR(S)**: Green

It is certified that error appears in the above—identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

Column 5, line 9, after "arm" insert --88--; and line 26, after "channel" insert --94--.

Column 6, line 4, after "spring" insert --188--; and line 42, change "therwith" to --therewith--.

Column 10, line 21, after "cutting tip" insert --412--.

Claim 1, line 2, change "A" to --a--.

Claim 16, line 2, after "trocar" delete "tip", after "in" insert --spaced--; line 3, after "trocar" insert --tip--.

Claim 18, line 10, change "form" to --from--.

Signed and Sealed this

Nineteenth Day of October, 1993

une Tehman

Attest:

**BRUCE LEHMAN** 

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Attesting Officer

thereby causing and continuing to cause U.S. Surgical irreparable harm for which it has no adequate remedy at law.

U

AS A SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Inducement to Infringe a Patent)
(Defendant Moll)

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully set forth the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 17.
- 19. Moll has actively induced and continues to actively induce Origin to infringe U.S. Surgical's '353 Patent, causing and continuing to cause U.S. Surgical irreparable harm for which it has no adequate remedy at law.
- 20. On information and belief, the continued infringement of the '353 Patent was and is preconceived, deliberate and willful, making this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment in its favor and against Origin and Moll:

(1) for injunctive relief barring continued infringement of the '353 Patent by defendants Origin and Moll, together with their respective officers, directors, licensees, subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and all persons acting in concert or participation with any of them, as permitted by 35 U.S.C. § 283;

- 1	
1	(2) for damages, jointly and severally, to
2	compensate U.S. Surgical for the infringement of the '353 Patent,
3	as permitted by 35 U.S.C. § 284;
4	(2)
5	(3) for an assessment of treble damages against
6	defendants due to their deliberate and willful infringement of the
7	`353 Patent, as permitted by 35 U.S.C. § 284;
8	(4) for an award of attorney's fees, an assessment
9	of costs, and interest, as permitted by 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
10	(5) for such other and further relief as the Court
11	
12	deems just and proper.
13	Dated: San Francisco, California
14	May 27, 1992
15	PROSKAUER ROSE GOETZ & MENDELSOHN
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff
17	Pure Mulyan
18	By: ROBERT V. KUENZEL  A Member of the Firm
19	A Member of the firm
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	